UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS	
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT SUMMARY ORDER	
THIS SUMMARY ORDER WILL NOT BE PUBLISHED IN THE FEDERAL REPORTER AND MAY NOT BE CITED AS PRECEDENTIAL AUTHORITY TO	
THIS OR ANY OTHER COURT, BUT MAY BE CALLED TO THE ATTENTION	
OF THIS OR ANY OTHER COURT IN A SUBSEQUENT STAGE OF THIS CASE,	
IN A RELATED CASE, OR IN ANY CASE FOR PURPOSES OF COLLATERAL	
ESTOPPEL OR RES JUDICATA.	
A44-4-14	4 C
At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the United States Courthouse, Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 5th	
day of November Two thousand four.	oney Square, in the City of New York, on the 5th
day of two chousand four.	
PRESENT:	
Richard J. Cardamone	
José A. Cabranes	
Sonia Sotomayor	
Circuit Judges	
	X
Scott Huminski,	
Plaintiff-Appellant,	
	No. 03-7036
-V	110. 03-7 036
Town of Bennington, Vermont, Rich	IARD
Guathier, Stuart Hurd, Bennington	
Police Department, Vanessa Haverko	OCH,
as a Representative, executor, administrator	c, or
holder of assets of or for Henry Haverkoch	or
for the Estate of Henry Haverkoch, HENRY	Y
Haverkoch (deceased),	
Defendants-Appellees.	
	X
APPEARING FOR APPELLANT:	ALAN URIS, Law Office of Alan Uris, (Scott

1		Huminski, pro se, on the brief) Bayside, NY	
2 3 4	APPEARING FOR APPELLEE:	JAMES F. CARROLL, English, Carroll & Ritter, P.C., Middlebury, VT	
5 6 7 8	Appeal from a judgment of the United States District Court for the District of Vermont (J. Garvan Murtha, <i>Judge</i>).		
9 10 11	UPON DUE CONSIDERATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the judgment of the District Court is hereby AFFIRMED.		
12	Plaintiff appeals from a final judgment granting summary judgment for defendants.		
13	Plaintiff argues on appeal that his federal and state constitutional rights to due process		
14	and equal protection of the laws were violated because of various conflicts of interest in the		
15	prosecutor's office. For the reasons stated by the District Court in its Ruling on Cross-		
16	Motions for Summary Judgment of November 27, 2002, we hold that none of these challenges		
17	rise to the level of reversible error.		
18	We have considered all of plaintiff's claims on appeal and found them to be without		
19	merit. We hereby AFFIRM the judgment of the District Court.		
20			
21			
22 23 24 25 26		FOR THE COURT, Roseann B. MacKechnie, Clerk of Court	
27		Ву	